

**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

**Present:** Councillor George Meehan (Chair), Councillor Kaushika Amin, Councillor John Bevan, Helen Brown, Yolande Burgess, Councillor Nilgun Canver, Robert Edmonds, John Egbo, Dave Grant, Paul Head, Lorne Horsford, Councillor Brian Haley, Paul Head, Derma Ioannou, Rev Nims Obunge, Martha Osamor, Mun Thong Phung, Councillor Lorna Reith, Faiza Rizvi, Naeem Sheikh, Richard Sumray.

**In Attendance:** Michelle Alexander, Ian Bailey, Xanthe Barker, Mike Browne, Ian Christie, Catherine Cobb, Mary Connolly, Karen Galey, Sharon Kemp, Pamela Pemberton, Anne Woods.

| <b>MINUTE NO.</b> | <b>SUBJECT/DECISION</b>  | <b>ACTION BY</b> |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| <b>HSP75.</b>     | <b>APOLOGIES AND SUBSTITUTIONS</b><br><br>The Chair welcomed those present to the meeting, particularly the new Community Link Forum (CLF) representatives and the new Police Borough Commander, and noted that apologies had been received from the following:<br><br>Tracey Baldwin                      -Helen Brown substituted<br>Eugenia Cronin<br>Rachel Hughes                      -Lorne Horsford substituted<br>Michael Jones<br>Adam Jorge<br>Dr It O'Donovan<br>Sharon Shoemith |                  |
| <b>HSP76.</b>     | <b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b><br><br>No declarations of interest were made.  |                  |
| <b>HSP77.</b>     | <b>URGENT BUSINESS</b><br><br>No items of Urgent Business were received.   |                  |
| <b>HSP78.</b>     | <b>MINUTES</b><br><br>That the minutes of the meeting held on 8 <sup>th</sup> April 2008 be confirmed as a correct record.   |                  |
| <b>HSP79.</b>     | <b>APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR</b><br><br><b>RESOLVED:</b><br><br>That Councillor George Meehan be appointed as Chair for the ensuing Municipal Year.   |                  |

**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|                      |   |                        |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|
| <p><b>HSP80.</b></p> | <p><b>APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIR</b></p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <p>That Paul Head be appointed as Vice-Chair for the ensuing Municipal Year.</p>  | <p>HSP<br/>Manager</p> |
| <p><b>HSP81.</b></p> | <p><b>CONFIRMATION OF MEMBERSHIP AND CURRENT TERMS OF REFERENCE: 2008/09</b></p> <p>The Board received a report requesting that it confirm its Membership and Terms of Reference for the new Municipal Year.</p> <p>It was noted that the Membership and Terms of Reference required amendment in order to recognise the appointment and role of the new CLF representatives.</p> <p>The Board was advised that under agenda Item 16 further amendments were proposed to the Terms of Reference. These were being considered separately as part of a wider review of the HSP's Governance arrangements.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the Membership list, as proposed, be confirmed for the new Municipal Year.</li> <li>ii. That the Terms of Reference be amended to include the new CLF representatives.</li> </ul>          |                        |
| <p><b>HSP82.</b></p> | <p><b>COMMUNITY LINK FORUM</b></p> <p>The Board was advised that presentations had been made to each of the Thematic Boards during the last cycle of meetings. These had set out the relationship between the Partnership and the CLF, the process for the recent CLF election and the Forums objectives and work to date.</p> <p>The new representatives had also had an induction session with the Chair of the relevant Thematic Board and Council officers prior to their first meeting.</p> <p>Feedback was being sought by HAVCO to determine whether it felt the induction process for the CLF representatives had been adequate. This would feed into an evaluation of the work carried out by the CLF since its formation.</p> <p>That Chair thanked Pamela Pemberton of the CLF for the update.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <p>That the verbal update be noted.</p> |                        |

**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|               |  |     |
|---------------|--|-----|
| <b>HSP83.</b> | <p><b>CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD: PRESENTATION</b></p> <p>The Board received a presentation from the Children and Young People's Strategic Partnership Board focussing on Changing Lives 2008/09.</p> <p>The Board was provided with copies of the recently published Changing Lives 2008/09 document.</p> <p>It was noted that the Children and Young People's Plan (CYPP) set out how the objectives included within the Changing Lives initiative would be delivered. It was noted that there was a statutory requirement upon the Council to carry out an Annual Performance Assessment (APA) of the actions included within the Plan.</p> <p>The APA would form a significant part of the information used to assess performance under the Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA).</p> <p>The Board was advised that there were five key outcomes that the Plan would be assessed against:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Being Healthy</li><li>• Staying Safe</li><li>• Enjoy and Achieve</li><li>• Making a Positive Contribution</li><li>• Achieving Economic Well Being</li></ul> <p>An additional outcome had been included in relation to Vulnerable Children and performance against this would also be measured.</p> <p>At present an evaluation of the Plan was being carried out and Partners would have a key role to play in this process. The Primary Care Trust (PCT) and other agencies would be asked to contribute to discussion around the delivery of the objectives contained within the Plan.</p> <p>In terms of planning beyond the future of the current CYPP the Board was advised that consultation would commence in the autumn on the development of a plan for 2009/20. This would take a long term view of the needs of Children in the Borough and incorporate the requirements of the national Children's Plan.</p> <p>In response to a query, as to how the views of children and young people would be sought and fed into the Plan, the Board was advised that there were existing mechanisms in place to facilitate this. Schools and parents would also be consulted as part of the process.</p> <p>It was suggested that the Multi Faith Forum should be used as a forum for consultation with children and young people.</p> <p>There was agreement that the Plan should be cross cutting and take on board issues such as crime prevention and the affect of crime upon</p> | Dir |
|---------------|--|-----|

**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|               |   |   |
|---------------|---|---|
|               | <p>children. It was noted that there were also strong links to mental health issues and these should be 'joined up' in their approach, in order to achieve long term success.</p> <p>It was suggested that this should be discussed at a future meeting of the Board.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. That the presentation be noted.</li><li>ii. That there should be further discussion, at a future meeting, with regard to how crime prevention and mental health provision should be addressed within the CYPP 2009/20.</li></ul>   | Children's Services<br><br>Dir<br>Children's Services |
| <b>HSP84.</b> | <p><b>REGENERATION STRATEGY DRAFT DELIVERY PLAN</b></p> <p>The Board received a report setting out how the draft Delivery Plan would take forward the Regeneration Strategy over the next three years.</p> <p>The Strategy had been adopted in February 2008 and as part of this it had been agreed that an Annual Delivery Plan should be provided. The Plan had been written in a succinct manner in order to ensure that it was accessible to as many people as possible. It did not provide details of every project included within the Strategy and instead focussed on key flagship projects.</p> <p>Within the Plan three key areas were focussed upon:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• People</li><li>• Places</li><li>• Prosperity</li></ul> <p>The Board was advised that the Delivery Plan would focus on projects taking place during the current year and include a review of the previous years' projects. It was intended that it would enable partners to see the projects as a collective group and how they linked together to achieve broader goals.</p> <p>In terms of performance monitoring, the Board was advised that in addition to the Council's Cabinet, the Enterprise Partnership Board and the HSP would also receive performance reports.</p> <p>It was noted that there was no reference to the St Ann's Hospital site within the document at present. The Board was advised that this would be included when the project came online later in the year. The Strategy would be reviewed on a six monthly basis and if appropriate this would be picked up then.</p> <p>In response to a query, the Board was advised that the Community and Voluntary Sector was involved in the Strategy via both the Haringey Guarantee and the North London Pledge.</p> |   |

**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|                      |   |                                       |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
|                      | <p>It was noted that at present there was no reference to how building work in regeneration areas would impact upon the local community particularly in terms of traffic. The Police representative requested that the Police were made fully aware of any likely impact upon traffic before building work commenced.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <p>That the draft Delivery Plan for Haringey's Regeneration Strategy be endorsed.</p>   | <p>Karen Galey</p>                    |
| <p><b>HSP85.</b></p> | <p><b>LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT 2007/08: END OF YEAR REPORT</b></p> <p>The Board received a report presenting the Local Area Agreement (LAA) 2007/08 End of Year Statement of Grant Usage.</p> <p>It was noted that although the previous LAA had been superseded by the new style LAA, there was still an expectation that the requirements of the old LAA would be fulfilled.</p> <p>As the accountable body for the Partnership, the Council was required to produce an End of Year Statement of Grant Usage for the LAA. This outlined spend across the mandatory ring fenced pooled funding streams within the LAA grant for the previous financial year.</p> <p>The Board was advised that the Performance Management Group (PMG) had agreed that the Chair of the Partnership should be delegated authority to approve the final report prior to submission to GOL and the HSP was asked to endorse this decision.</p> <p>It was noted that approximately thirty per cent of the funding available had been allocated to Third Sector projects. However, this was not reflected in the allocations made across the Thematic Boards and it was queried how this would be addressed.</p> <p>The Board was advised that the Performance Monitoring Framework attached to the new LAA meant that a new and more qualitative approach was being taken to projects and the delivery of outcomes. As part of this the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) was being carried out that would assess where the skills to deliver this lay.</p> <p>It was noted that the Community and Voluntary Sector was keen to assist in identifying mechanisms to ensure that it was best placed to participate in the delivery of outcomes.</p> <p>There was agreement that work was required to build the capacity of the Voluntary and Community Sector around the delivery of targets and the Chair requested that a report be brought to the PMG in relation to this, with a view to a report being considered at a future meeting of the HSP.</p> <p>It was suggested that a sharp focus upon areas where targets were not</p> | <p>Ass CE<br/>PPP/HSP<br/>Manager</p> |

**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|                      |  |   |
|----------------------|--|---|
|                      | <p>being met was required and that the Partnership should consider what action was needed in order to address these areas. There was agreement that the PMG should receive a report setting out how the format of performance reports could be revised to address this point.</p> <p>The Board discussed the review of projects receiving Area Based Grant (ABG) funding. It was noted that the HSP had previously agreed that 2008/09 would be treated as a transitional year with a review of projects taking place in September after an initial six month period. Since this had been agreed the Commissioning Review Group had met and put together criteria for the review.</p> <p>It was noted that recommendations would be made to the PMG once the review had taken place as to which projects should continue to receive funding.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the report be noted.</li> <li>ii. To endorse the decision of the PMG that the Chair of the Partnership should be delegated authority to approve the final report prior to submission to the Government Office for London (GOL).</li> <li>iii. That a report should be brought to the PMG and HSP setting out how the capacity of the Voluntary and Community Sector could be built around the delivery of targets included within the LAA.</li> <li>iv. That the PMG should receive a report reviewing the format of Performance reports, with a view to focussing these on exception reporting and putting forward proposals as to how partners could contribute to improving failing targets.</li> </ul> | <p>Ass CE<br/>PPP/HSP<br/>Manager</p> <p>Ass CE<br/>PPP/HSP<br/>Manager</p> <p>Ass CE<br/>PPP/HSP<br/>Manager</p> |
| <p><b>HSP86.</b></p> | <p><b>LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT END OF YEAR PERFORMANCE HIGHLIGHT REPORT: 2007/08</b></p> <p>The Board received a report that set out performance against Stretch Targets included within the current LAA.</p> <p>An overview was given of performance and it was noted that the Stretch Targets would be incorporated within the new LAA as Local Indicators.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <p>That the report be noted.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>HSP87.</b></p> | <p><b>LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT 2008/09 - 2009/11 UPDATE</b></p> <p>The Board received a report setting out progress in relation to the new LAA.</p>  |   |

**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|                      |  |   |
|----------------------|--|---|
|                      | <p>It was noted that the new LAA had been submitted to GOL on 30 May and was due to be signed off my ministers by the end of June 2008. It was not envisaged that GOL would make any substantive changes to the LAA.</p> <p>The Board was advised that there were a number of Indicators where targets had been deferred until 2009/10. Where this occurred the Thematic Boards would continue to manage performance manage these with proxy indicators.</p> <p>In addition to the deferred indicators there were minor alterations to the thirty-five indicators originally selected and these had been agreed by the PMG on 28 May prior to submission.</p> <p>Following the approval of the LAA there would be a national launch followed by a 'London Reception'. Further details would be provided by GOL in due course.</p> <p>Councillor Bevan noted that figures in relation to NI 154 were incorrect and officers agreed to check these before the document was finalised.</p> <p>There was agreement that it would be useful if comparative information was sought from other London Boroughs to see how Haringey's choice of Indicators compared.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the report be noted.</li> <li>ii. That comparative information in relation to the choice if Indicators be sought and brought back to the Board for information.</li> </ul> | <p>Ass CE<br/>PPP/HSP<br/>Manager</p> <p>Ass CE<br/>PPP/HSP<br/>Manager</p> |
| <p><b>HSP88.</b></p> | <p><b>PROGRESS REPORT: HARINGEY'S COMPACT 'WORKING BETTER TOGETHER' FROM DEVELOPMENT TO IMPLEMENTATION</b></p> <p>The Board received a report detailing progress in relation to the Haringey Compact.</p> <p>It was noted that since its launch the Compact had been recognised as a model of good practice and had received two commendations for Excellence from the Compact Commission.</p> <p>A range of work had been undertaken, including the adoption of the Council's Grant Aid Standards, which aimed to standardise the monitoring and implementation of the grant allocation process across the Council.</p> <p>The Board was advised of work carried out by the Compact and it was noted that it would play a key role in forthcoming review of ABG funded projects.</p>  |   |

**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|                      |   |  |
|----------------------|---|--|
|                      | <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <p>That the report be noted.</p>  |  |
| <p><b>HSP89.</b></p> | <p><b>RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY AND FRAMEWORK FOR THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP</b></p> <p>The Board received a report that set out proposals for the introduction of a Risk Management Strategy and Framework for the HSP.</p> <p>The Board was advised that the Risk Management was seen as an increasingly important tool by various bodies responsible for external assessment. Under the new Comprehensive Area Assessment there was an increased focus upon partnership working and therefore the Partnership needed to be able to demonstrate that it had effective systems in place to deal with Risk Management.</p> <p>In addition to this the Audit Commission had also indicated that Local Strategic Partnerships would need to demonstrate that Risk Management was properly embedded.</p> <p>It was proposed that risk registers should be used by the Thematic Boards and the PMG and these would be monitored by the Council's Internal Audit Service. The Service would also provide training on the completion of these via workshop sessions.</p> <p>In response to a query as to whether the registers would focus solely on financial issues, the Board was advised that there would be many elements that would be captured and there would not be a specific focus on financial issues.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the Risk Management Strategy and proposed actions be approved.</li> <li>ii. That the risk registers as set out be implemented across the Thematic Boards and PMG.</li> </ul> |  |
| <p><b>HSP90.</b></p> | <p><b>HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP: CODE OF GOVERNANCE</b></p> <p>The Board received a report that set out options in relation to the adoption of a new Code of Corporate Governance for the HSP.</p> <p>It was noted that the CAA Key Lines of Enquiry, published earlier in the year had set out the need for a Code of Corporate Governance as part of the Partnership's overall governance framework. In addition to this it was also recognised as being good practice to have such a Code in place.</p> <p>A draft Action Plan setting out proposals in relation to the Code was</p>  |  |



**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|                      |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|
|                      | <p>included within the report and the Board was invited to comment on this.</p> <p>There was a general consensus that the introduction of a Register of Interests was a positive step.</p> <p>The Board discussed the new CAA and there was agreement that it would be useful if further information could be circulated once received. It was also requested that information in relation to the CPA and should also be circulated to Board members.</p> <p>It was suggested that once further guidance was received in relation to the CAA a report should be received by the HSP setting out the implications of the Assessment and the work that needed to be carried out in order to address this.</p> <p>The Chair was in agreement that a report as set out above should be received by the Board.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the Code of Corporate Governance be approved.</li> <li>ii. That the measures set out to publicise the Code after its adoption be approved.</li> <li>iii. That the Action Plan be approved and that this should form the Annual Governance Statement for the Partnership.</li> <li>iv. That the Terms of Reference for the HSP, the Thematic Boards and PMG should be reviewed in order to ensure compliance and consistency with the Code of Governance.</li> <li>v. That each partner agency should identify a lead officer from within their organisation to be the lead contact on HSP Governance issues.</li> <li>vi. That, once further guidance was received in relation to the CAA, a report should be submitted to the HSP setting out the implications for the Partnership and the work required to address this.</li> </ul> | <p>Ass CE<br/>PPP/HSP<br/>Manager</p> <p>Head of<br/>Local<br/>Dem &amp;<br/>Member<br/>Services</p> <p>All</p> <p>Head of<br/>Local<br/>Dem &amp;<br/>Member<br/>Services</p> |
| <p><b>HSP91.</b></p> | <p><b>HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP COMPLAINTS HANDLING PROTOCOL</b></p> <p>The Board received a report setting out a proposed Complaints Handling Protocol for the HSP.</p> <p>It was noted that the Local Ombudsman had published a report that had identified problems attached to the handling of complaints where there was a partnership of responsible bodies. In order to address this, the Ombudsman had recommended that Local Strategic Partnerships, amongst others, should establish Complaints Protocols.</p>   |  |



**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|                      |   |   |
|----------------------|---|---|
|                      | <p>confusion and detract from its objectives.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That the HSP Communications Network should develop a Communications and Consultation Strategy and that there should be discussion with individual Thematic Board Chairs in relation to this.</li> <li>ii. That the Strategy should be brought back to a future meeting of the Board for approval.</li> <li>iii. That the Strategy should include reference to any additional resources required to deliver it.</li> </ul>   | <p>Head of<br/>Comms<br/>and<br/>Consutati<br/>on</p> |
| <p><b>HSP93.</b></p> | <p><b>LOCAL AREA AGREEMENT WORKSHOP REPORT</b></p> <p>The Board received the information report.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <p>That the report be noted.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>HSP94.</b></p> | <p><b>THEMATIC BOARD UPDATES</b></p> <p>The Board received a report that provided a summary of the work streams, activities and recent decisions undertaken by each of the Thematic Boards.</p> <p><b>RESOLVED:</b></p> <p>That the report be noted.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>HSP95.</b></p> | <p><b>NEW ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS</b></p> <p>No new items of urgent business were received.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>HSP96.</b></p> | <p><b>ANY OTHER BUSINESS</b></p> <p>The Chair advised the Board that the Council had recently received three months notice that Liveability, the organisation that supported the Wood Lane Nursery scheme, intended to end its funding.</p> <p>In order to allow the scheme time to identify alternative funding, the Council had agreed to provide funding for an initial three month period. However, there was no guarantee that the Council would be able to maintain this arrangement and the Chair called on Partners to consider whether they were able to assist.</p> <p>It was agreed that further details should be circulated to Partners.</p> | <p>HSP<br/>Manager</p>                                |

**MINUTES OF THE HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BOARD (HSP)  
THURSDAY, 3 JULY 2008**

|               |   |  |
|---------------|---|--|
|               |   |  |
| <b>HSP97.</b> | <b>DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS</b><br><br>The Board was asked to note the following dates of future meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 4 November 2008</li><li>• 26 February 2009</li><li>• 27 April 2009</li></ul> |  |

COUNCILLOR GEORGE MEEHAN

Chair